Fundamental Distinctions Between Arbitration and Litigation

Paul J. Hehir,

Associate Vice President of Litigation, Royal Caribbean International/Celebrity Cruises

Arbitration

- Private dispute resolution (outside of a courtroom)
 - Personal injury
 - Commercial
- No jury
- Arises from contract



Selection of Arbitrator

- Arbitration
 - Clause will specify number of arbitrators (usually 1 or 3)
 - Parties can agree on who will be arbitrator(s)
 - If not, strike/rank method employed
 - Who can be arbitrator?
 - Anyone
 - Usually lawyer
 - Former judge
 - Expert in their field (accountant, engineer, etc.)

Litigation

- Public dispute resolution
- Judge or jury
- Can be state or federal court / law



Selection of Judge

- Litigation
 - Parties have no control over judge assigned to case
 - Judge selected at random when case filed
 - Could be bench trial or jury trial
 - Jurors
 - State 6
 - Federal 8+



Venue

- Arbitration provision can provide location
 - Specified in clause:
 - Common
 - Ship's flag state
 - Country of ship owner's incorporation
- Litigation
 - Place where contract was breached, tort occurred, etc.



Forum

- Arbitration
 - Confidential proceeding
 - Less formal setting than court
- Litigation
 - Courtroom (public)
 - Formalized rules of evidence / discovery



Governing Law

- Arbitration
 - Substantive/Procedural Law arbitral seat vs. substantive (law of flag)
 - Parties may otherwise agree
- Litigation
 - Law of the venue
 - U.S. state or federal
 - Choice of Law clauses



Speed

- Arbitration
 - Quicker
 - Once Arbitrator is selected, may proceed to arbitration – usually within one (1) year
- Litigation
 - Longer
 - Cantake years depending on discovery, judge's calendar, etc.



Cost

- Arbitration
 - Lower costs (theoretically)
 - Arbitrator can be expensive!
 - Prepayment of costs
 - Limited discovery
 - Arbitrator has discretion over attorney's fees and discovery
 - Most rules NO punitive damages unless agreed they are available
 - Some cases are becoming more like litigation
 - Expanded discovery
 - Hearings
 - Depositions, written discovery
 - Testimony by live witnesses, etc.

Cost

- Litigation
 - Higher costs (theoretically)
 - Discovery (more depositions, etc.)
 - Punitive damages
 - Prevailing party entitled to their costs/fees from other side if based upon contract or statute



Evidence / Discovery Process

- Arbitration
 - Usually more limited than litigation
 - Controlled by arbitrator
 - Rules of evidence do not strictly apply (if at all)
 - Discovery process set forth by arbitrator at preliminary hearing.
 - Retired judges as arbitrators:
 - Like to think they are still on bench
 - Give wide range of discovery, hearings, testimony.
 - Ultimately treat like streamlined litigation
 - Awards reasonable

Evidence / Discovery Process

- Litigation
 - Full discovery process
 - While controlled by court, parties engage in full discovery
 - Interrogatories, depositions, admissions, production requests, etc.
 - Rules of evidence apply



Arbitration / Trial

- Arbitration
 - Often informal process in front of arbitrator
 - Final hearing can be by paper or hearing
 - Full final hearing vs. limited
 - Arbitrator at hearing may want live testimony (under some rules can appoint own expert)
 - "Hot tubbing"
 - Process where experts are put together and discuss their theories to make concessions and reach greater agreement
 - In Australia and moving into Europe